

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/784,836	GILLESPIE, SCOTT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew S. Meyers	3629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Matthew S. Meyers.

(3) Timothy MacIntyre.

(2) John Weiss.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-29 and 45-49.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vance/Walker/TravelSense.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner would like to thank applicant for the explanation of his invention. Market share needs to be defined as the % of airlines servicing a given city pair at a particular time. Following proposed amendments and the cancellation of independent claims 8, 16, 27, 38, 39, 44, and 47, the application would be considered allowable over the prior art. In particular amending remaining claims in a manner consistent with claim 1. Please feel free to call Examiner at anytime in an effort to expedite prosecution.